
Page 1: Submission form

Q1

Your contact details (this is optional, however contact details must be provided to speak in support of your submission)

Name	Grant Mcfaull
Address	
City/Town	ashburton
Email Address	
Phone Number	

Q2

Yes

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing? The hearing is likely to be held on 23 November 2022 (subject to confirmation from the new Council). Please note that hearings are publicly live-streamed.

Page 2

Q3

In person

How you would like to present your submission at the hearing?

Page 3

Q4

Do you agree with the principle to lower speed limits outside schools within the district?

No,

Comments:

within reason yes, but they should not be 30km and should not apply at times other than when school traffic is active - early morning, after school , weekends and holidays , lower limits should not be applicable

Draft Interim Speed Management Plan 2022

Q5

Do you agree with the principle to introduce speed management for urban fringe zones in the district to respond to future growth?

No,

Other (please specify):

once again within reason, but low speed limits when not required are ignored by the majority

Q6

Do you agree with the principle to enable speed management for developed urban areas with a high number of active users?

No,

Other (please specify):

this needs clarification

Q7

Do you have any other comments or feedback about this draft plan?

I spoke a couple of years ago against the 30kph limit when it was considered for some areas as being artificially too low for compliance by the majority of road users - and the council members agreed - so 40k was instituted. This was a sensible decision by council. For uniformity 40kph would be acceptable for some areas on the map, but this should only apply right outside the school. A permanent 30k, or 40k limit is not required and would be ignored by the majority - the lower limit should not apply outside school hours, or at weekends and on school holidays. Motorists will NOT comply with limits that are deemed to be draconian and unreasonable. Council member saw common sense at the last review and it is required in this instance. Council must also look at this - is there actually a problem? If ill considered low limits are placed on streets where there are currently no issues, and no school traffic outside school hours, the disrupted traffic flows with inconsistent speeds can actually create more of a problem than it solves. Common sense is required with this plan
